

Session Name
11-8-2018 2-39 PM

Date Created
11/8/2018 11:37:41 AM

Average Score
0.00%

Active Participants
52

Questions
51

Total Participants
52

Results by Question

1. Please select how you identify:
(Demographic Assignment)

Responses		
	Percent	Count
Male	47.83%	22
Female	50.00%	23
Other	2.17%	1
Totals	100%	46

2. What is your age range? (Demographic Assignment)

Responses		
	Percent	Count
<18	0.00%	0
18-24	0.00%	0
25-34	2.08%	1
35-54	41.67%	20
55-64	25.00%	12
65+	31.25%	15
Totals	100%	48

3. I am a: (Demographic Assignment)

Responses		
	Percent	Count
Full-time resident of Bozeman.	97.92%	47
Full-time resident of Gallatin County, outside the city limits of Bozeman.	2.08%	1
Temporary resident of either the City or County (i.e. student, seasonal employee, other).	0.00%	0
Montana resident from another city/county.	0.00%	0
Tourist visiting from outside the state of Montana.	0.00%	0
Other.	0.00%	0
Totals	100%	48

4. I have: (Demographic Assignment)

Responses		
	Percent	Count
Read the NCOD recommendations report.	15.22%	7
Previously been to a meeting or event.	6.52%	3
Have visited the City's project page.	0.00%	0
Have taken a survey or submitted public comment.	2.17%	1
A combination of the above.	60.87%	28
Not participated to date but am interested in being involved in the future.	15.22%	7
Totals	100%	46

5. I am here representing... (Multiple Choice - Multiple Response)

Responses		
	Percent	Count
A neighborhood organization	17.65%	9
A City board	5.88%	3
Real estate community	1.96%	1
Local business owners	5.88%	3
Development community (planning/architecture/construction)	13.73%	7
Historic preservation	17.65%	9

My own interests	37.25%	19
Totals	100%	51

6. What is your level of support for retaining the NCOD? (Demographic Assignment)

Responses		
	Percent	Count
low support	14.29%	7
low – moderate support	14.29%	7
moderate support	8.16%	4
moderate – high support	16.33%	8
high support	46.94%	23
Totals	100%	49

7. What do you see as the biggest positive of retaining the NCOD? (Demographic)

Responses		
	Percent	Count
Familiarity, this is what we've been doing.	2.13%	1
It's been effective, worked well (not perfect).	46.81%	22
It's easier to tweak what we have than to start over.	42.55%	20
I have provided another alternative.	6.38%	3
I don't see any positives to keeping the NCOD.	2.13%	1
Totals	100%	47

8. What do you see as the biggest negative of retaining the NCOD? (Demographic)

Responses		
	Percent	Count
It is no longer relevant to current conditions.	18.60%	8
It has never worked all that well.	6.98%	3
It was working well, but it no longer effective.	11.63%	5
I don't see any negatives to keeping the NCOD.	62.79%	27
Totals	100%	43

9. Please rank your top two options in order of preference... (Priority Ranking)

Responses		
	Percent	Weighted Count
Retain the NCOD.	51.32%	390
Replace the NCOD with design guidelines for each neighborhood within the NCOD.	38.55%	293
Another alternative which I have provided via written comment.	10.13%	77
Totals	100%	760

10. What is your level of support for not changing the NCOD area? (Demographic)

Responses		
	Percent	Count
low support	33.33%	16
low – moderate support	10.42%	5
moderate support	16.67%	8
moderate – high support	14.58%	7
high support	25.00%	12
Totals	100%	48

11. What do you see as the biggest positive of retaining the NCOD? (Demographic

Responses		
	Percent	Count
It works well the way it is.	17.78%	8
Boundary adjustments should be based on factual information from architectural surveys.	68.89%	31
I don't see any positives to retaining the NCOD.	13.33%	6
Totals	100%	45

12. What do you see as the biggest negative of retaining the NCOD? (Demographic

Responses		
	Percent	Count
The NCOD area should be expanded.	41.86%	18
The NCOD area should be reduced.	25.58%	11
I don't see any negatives to keeping the NCOD boundary the same.	32.56%	14
Totals	100%	43

13. Please rank your top three options in order of preference... (Priority Ranking)

Responses

	Percent	Weighted Count
No major boundary adjustment.	12.43%	147
North 7th is all in or all out of the NCOD. It is one or the other.	32.46%	384
Adjust the NCOD boundary to include the north end.	21.64%	256
Complete an architectural survey; then contemplate boundary changes.	28.91%	342
Remove the boundary completely.	2.87%	34
Another alternative I have provided via written comment.	1.69%	20
Totals	100%	1183

14. What is your level of support for creating standards and guidelines specific to area/neighborhoods within the NCOD? (Demographic Assignment)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
low support	20.83%	10
low – moderate support	8.33%	4
moderate support	10.42%	5
moderate – high support	20.83%	10
high support	39.58%	19
Totals	100%	48

15. What do you see as the biggest positive of neighborhood specific standards and guidelines? (Demographic Assignment)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
New design will fit into existing context.	48.94%	23
Clear regulations will be adopted.	19.15%	9
More control over new building design.	17.02%	8
I don't see any positives to standards and guidelines.	14.89%	7
Totals	100%	47

16. What do you see as the biggest negative of neighborhood specific standards and guidelines? (Demographic Assignment)

Responses		
	Percent	Count
Too much regulation.	31.25%	15
Does not support creative new designs.	25.00%	12
Too hard to understand guidelines and standards.	20.83%	10
I don't see any negatives to neighborhood specific standards and guidelines.	22.92%	11
Totals	100%	48

17. Please rank your top three options in order of preference... (Priority Ranking)

Responses		
	Percent	Weighted Count
Distinct design standards and guidelines for each neighborhood area.	35.75%	330
Two sets of design standards and guidelines for areas north of Main and areas south of Main.	31.42%	290
Status quo – general guidelines.	25.79%	238
Another alternative I have provided via written comment.	7.04%	65
Totals	100%	923

18. What is your level of support for strengthening the historic preservation program? (Demographic Assignment)

Responses	
Percent	Count

low support	14.58%	7
low – moderate support	2.08%	1
moderate support	16.67%	8
moderate – high support	20.83%	10
high support	45.83%	22
Totals	100%	48

19. What do you see as the biggest positive of strengthening the historic preservation program? (Demographic Assignment)

Responses		
	Percent	Count
Preserving our history.	40.43%	19
Protecting property values.	8.51%	4
Preserving mass and scale in neighborhood.	40.43%	19
I don't see any positives to strengthening the historic preservation program.	10.64%	5
Totals	100%	47

20. What do you see as the biggest negative to strengthening the historic preservation program? (Demographic Assignment)

Responses		
	Percent	Count
Too onerous on owners of older homes.	10.20%	5
Too impactful to the local economy.	4.08%	2
Too limiting on design options/styles.	26.53%	13
The review process will become long/convoluted.	32.65%	16
I don't see any negatives to this.	26.53%	13
Totals	100%	49

21. Please rank your top three options in order of preference... (Priority Ranking)

Responses		
	Percent	Weighted Count
Strengthen the historic preservation program.	28.76%	256
Phase in a stronger historic preservation program with incremental steps.	35.73%	318
Status quo – voluntary program under State and Federal regulations	31.24%	278
Another alternative I have provided via written comment.	4.27%	38
Totals	100%	890

22. What is your level of support for expanding incentives for historic properties? (Demographic Assignment)

Responses		
	Percent	Count
low support	10.20%	5
low – moderate support	2.04%	1
moderate support	24.49%	12
moderate – high support	20.41%	10
high support	42.86%	21
Totals	100%	49

23. What do you see as the biggest positive of expanding incentives for historic properties? (Demographic Assignment)

Responses		
	Percent	Count
Financial support of owners of historic properties.	18.00%	9

Flexibility to deal with unique situations and site conditions.	48.00%	24
Allows for an in-depth discussion on the balance of preservation and redevelopment impacts.	30.00%	15
I don't see any positives.	4.00%	2
Totals	100%	50

24. What do you see as the biggest negative of expanding incentives for historic properties? (Demographic Assignment)

Responses		
	Percent	Count
Allows for property owners to benefit from preservation efforts.	0.00%	0
Creates inequity between historic and non-historic redevelopment.	30.43%	14
Applicants asking for too many incentives.	4.35%	2
Costs to the City.	36.96%	17
I don't see any negatives.	28.26%	13
Totals	100%	46

25. Please rank your top three options in order of preference... (Priority Ranking)

Responses		
	Percent	Weighted Count
Expand incentives for historic properties.	46.79%	394
Do not allow any incentives for historic properties.	5.94%	50
Status quo - historic property owners are allowed to request variations.	38.84%	327
Another alternative I have provided via written comment.	8.43%	71
Totals	100%	842

26. What is your level of support for elevating HPAB to a decision-making body? (Demographic Assignment)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
low support	36.17%	17
low – moderate support	10.64%	5
moderate support	10.64%	5
moderate – high support	19.15%	9
high support	23.40%	11
Totals	100%	47

27. What do you see as the biggest positive of elevating HPAB to a decision-making body? (Demographic Assignment)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
Historic projects receive heightened review against criteria and standards.	29.79%	14
Ability for the public to participate in project reviews via attending public hearings and providing public comment.	23.40%	11
More perspectives weighing in during project review.	12.77%	6
I don't see any positives.	34.04%	16
Totals	100%	47

28. What do you see as the biggest negative of elevating HPAB to a decision-making body? (Demographic Assignment)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
Longer application process.	46.67%	21
Ability for public to participate in project reviews.	2.22%	1

More perspectives weighing in during project review.	22.22%	10
I don't see any negatives.	28.89%	13
Totals	100%	45

29. Please rank your top three options in order of preference... (Priority Ranking)

Responses		
	Percent	Weighted Count
Make HPAB decision-makers.	22.06%	176
require HPAB provide a recommendation for historic projects and projects within a historic district.	37.22%	297
Status quo – Community Development decides, HPAB sometimes consulted.	35.21%	281
Another alternative I have provided via written comment.	5.51%	44
Totals	100%	798

30. What is your level of support for creating standards and guidelines for historic landmarks and historic districts? (Demographic Assignment)

Responses		
	Percent	Count
low support	14.58%	7
low – moderate support	10.42%	5
moderate support	14.58%	7
moderate – high support	25.00%	12
high support	35.42%	17
Totals	100%	48

31. What do you see as the biggest positive for creating standards and guidelines for historic landmarks and historic districts? (Demographic Assignment)

Responses		
	Percent	Count
Protect historic buildings.	46.15%	24
Clear regulations.	26.92%	14
More control over new building design.	25.00%	13
I don't see any positives.	1.92%	1
Totals	100%	52

32. What do you see as the biggest negative for creating standards and guidelines for historic landmarks and historic districts? (Demographic Assignment)

Responses		
	Percent	Count
Too much regulation.	28.57%	14
Does not support creative new designs.	26.53%	13
Too costly.	12.24%	6
I don't see any negatives.	32.65%	16
Totals	100%	49

33. Please rank your top three options in order of preference... (Priority Ranking)

Responses		
	Percent	Weighted Count
Create historic preservation design standard and guidelines for districts and landmarks.	31.38%	257
Incrementally create historic district design standards and guidelines.	31.14%	255
Only create design guidelines, no standards.	23.93%	196

Status quo – 2013 guidelines document, not consistent with the most recently updated federal standards.	10.26%	84
Another alternative I have provided via written comment.	3.30%	27
Totals	100%	819

34. What is your level of support to align southern B-3 boundary with Babcock Street?

Responses		
	Percent	Count
low support	44.19%	19
low – moderate support	2.33%	1
moderate support	18.60%	8
moderate – high support	16.28%	7
high support	18.60%	8
Totals	100%	43

35. What do you see as the biggest positive of adjusting the B-3 boundary to Babcock

Responses		
	Percent	Count
Reduce conflicts between historic districts and new development.	25.00%	12
More straightforward geographical boundary.	8.33%	4
Protect mass and scale in historic districts.	29.17%	14
Push development pressure to north of Main Street and to the N. 7th corridor.	4.17%	2
I don't see any positives.	33.33%	16
Totals	100%	48

36. What do you see as the biggest negative of adjusting the B-3 boundary to Babcock

Responses		
	Percent	Count
Stifling downtown development.	47.92%	23
Pushing development to a different neighborhood.	27.08%	13
No longer allowing short term rentals of my home.	2.08%	1
I don't see any negatives.	22.92%	11
Totals	100%	48

37. Please rank your top three options in order of preference... (Priority Ranking)

Responses		
	Percent	Weighted Count
Create a B-3 transitional zone for areas beyond the downtown core.	31.92%	287
Incorporate additional site design standards within the existing zone edge transition requirements.	30.37%	273
Incentivize redevelopment along North 7th through TDRs.	24.03%	216
Status quo – leave boundary where it is.	10.34%	93
Another alternative I have provided via written comment.	3.34%	30
Totals	100%	899

38. What is your level of support to align zone districts with historic district boundaries?

Responses		
	Percent	Count
low support	47.92%	23

low – moderate support	6.25%	3
moderate support	16.67%	8
moderate – high support	12.50%	6
high support	16.67%	8
Totals	100%	48

39. What do you see as the biggest positive to align zone districts with historic district

Responses		
	Percent	Count
Protect historic buildings.	4.26%	2
New buildings better relate to historic district context.	40.43%	19
Easier to understand.	12.77%	6
I don't see any positives.	42.55%	20
Totals	100%	47

40. What do you see as the biggest negative to align zone districts with historic district

Responses		
	Percent	Count
Too much regulation.	17.78%	8
May result in less density.	26.67%	12
Will sterilize historic districts.	33.33%	15
I don't see any negatives.	22.22%	10
Totals	100%	45

41. Please rank your top three options in order of preference... (Priority Ranking)

Responses		
	Percent	Weighted Count
Explore adjusting the historic district boundaries to relate to the existing zone districts.	25.09%	211
Develop an historic preservation overlay zone in place of amending zone district boundaries.	37.10%	312
Status quo – leave zoning and historic districts the same.	35.79%	301
Another alternative I have provided via written comment.	2.02%	17
Totals	100%	841

42. What is your level of support to align zone districts with neighborhood character?

Responses		
	Percent	Count
low support	35.42%	17
low – moderate support	6.25%	3
moderate support	16.67%	8
moderate – high support	25.00%	12
high support	16.67%	8
Totals	100%	48

43. What do you see as the biggest positive of aligning zone districts with neighborhood

Responses		
	Percent	Count
Preservation of neighborhood character.	21.74%	10
Mass and scale is more compatible with neighborhood character.	41.30%	19

Easier to understand.	4.35%	2
I don't see any positives.	32.61%	15
Totals	100%	46

44. What do you see as the biggest negative of aligning zone districts with neighborhood

Responses		
	Percent	Count
Will sterilize neighborhood character.	26.09%	12
May result in less density.	23.91%	11
Too much regulation.	23.91%	11
I don't see any negatives.	26.09%	12
Totals	100%	46

45. Please rank your top three options in order of preference... (Priority Ranking)

Responses		
	Percent	Weighted Count
Align zone districts with neighborhood character.	17.79%	177
Update the form and intensity standards to better address concerns about mass and scale.	29.35%	292
Update current design guidelines and add design standards to better address concerns about mass and scale.	32.76%	326
Status quo – don't change zoning boundaries.	18.19%	181
Another alternative I have provided via written comment.	1.91%	19
Totals	100%	995

46. What is your level of support for streamlined and understandable review

Responses		
	Percent	Count
low support	8.00%	4
low – moderate support	2.00%	1
moderate support	6.00%	3
moderate – high support	14.00%	7
high support	70.00%	35
Totals	100%	50

47. What do you see as the biggest positive of a streamlined and understandable review

Responses		
	Percent	Count
Faster review process.	18.37%	9
More predictable review process.	61.22%	30
Easier to understand.	14.29%	7
I don't see any positives.	6.12%	3
Totals	100%	49

48. What do you see as the biggest negative of a streamlined and understandable review

Responses		
	Percent	Count
Slower review process.	0.00%	0
Over regulation.	12.77%	6
The review process is fine as it is.	8.51%	4

I don't see any negatives.	78.72%	37
Totals	100%	47

49. Please rank your top three options in order of preference... (Priority Ranking)

Responses		
	Percent	Weighted Count
Ensure that the review process is understandable and streamlined.	61.45%	432
Require a binding review process with the Design Review Board.	18.78%	132
Status quo – keep current process.	17.07%	120
Another alternative I have provided via written comment.	2.70%	19
Totals	100%	703

50. Which options do you support (pick all that apply)? (Multiple Choice - Multiple)

Responses		
	Percent	Count
Provide information to the area surrounding a project prior to the first hearing. <small>and project information (for example project proposal, more detailed application status, associated permits) to online page (GIS).</small>	37.37%	37
Use social media channels to educate ways to find project information.	34.34%	34
Status quo.	21.21%	21
I provided an alternative.	7.07%	7
I do not support any of these options.	0.00%	0
Totals	100%	99

51. Which options do you support (pick all that apply)? (Multiple Choice - Multiple

Responses		
	Percent	Count
Require a meeting with the neighborhood areas prior to application review.	28.95%	22
Require input from established neighborhood associations on large scale projects.	38.16%	29
Status quo.	23.68%	18
I provided an alternative.	3.95%	3
I do not support any of these options.	5.26%	4
Totals	100%	76

Session Name
11-9-2018 3-41 PM

Date Created
11/8/2018 5:46:02 PM

Average Score
0.00%

Active Participants
25

Questions
51

Total Participants
25

Results by Question

1. Please select how you identify: (Demographic Assignment)

Responses		
	Percent	Count
Male	54.17%	13
Female	41.67%	10
Other	4.17%	1
Totals	100%	24

2. What is your age range? (Demographic Assignment)

Responses		
	Percent	Count
<18	0.00%	0
18-24	0.00%	0
25-34	29.17%	7
35-54	29.17%	7
55-64	25.00%	6
65+	16.67%	4
Totals	100%	24

3. I am a: (Demographic Assignment)

Responses	
Percent	Count

Full-time resident of Bozeman.	95.83%	23
Full-time resident of Gallatin County, outside the city limits of Bozeman.	4.17%	1
Temporary resident of either the City or County (i.e. student, seasonal employee, other).	0.00%	0
Montana resident from another city/county.	0.00%	0
Tourist visiting from outside the state of Montana.	0.00%	0
Other.	0.00%	0
Totals	100%	24

4. I have: (Demographic Assignment)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
Read the NCOD recommendations report.	8.00%	2
Previously been to a meeting or event.	8.00%	2
Have visited the City's project page.	8.00%	2
Have taken a survey or submitted public comment.	8.00%	2
A combination of the above.	40.00%	10
Not participated to date but am interested in being involved in the future.	28.00%	7
Totals	100%	25

5. I am here representing... (Multiple Choice - Multiple Response)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
A neighborhood organization	12.00%	3
A City board	4.00%	1
Real estate community	4.00%	1
Local business owners	0.00%	0
Development community (planning/architecture/construction)	12.00%	3
Historic preservation	12.00%	3
My own interests	56.00%	14
Totals	100%	25

**6. What is your level of support for retaining the NCOD?
(Demographic Assignment)**

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
low support	4.00%	1
low – moderate support	4.00%	1
moderate support	16.00%	4
moderate – high support	16.00%	4
high support	60.00%	15
Totals	100%	25

7. What do you see as the biggest positive of retaining the NCOD? (Demographic Assignment)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
Familiarity, this is what we've been doing.	8.00%	2
It's been effective, worked well (not perfect).	60.00%	15
It's easier to tweak what we have than to start over.	28.00%	7
I have provided another alternative.	4.00%	1
I don't see any positives to keeping the NCOD.	0.00%	0
Totals	100%	25

8. What do you see as the biggest negative of retaining the NCOD? (Demographic Assignment)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
It is no longer relevant to current conditions.	12.50%	3
It has never worked all that well.	12.50%	3
It was working well, but it no longer effective.	20.83%	5
I don't see any negatives to keeping the NCOD.	54.17%	13
Totals	100%	24

9. Please rank your top two options in order of preference...
(Priority Ranking)

Responses		
	Percent	Weighted Count
Retain the NCOD.	49.07%	184
Replace the NCOD with design guidelines for each neighborhood within the NCOD.	42.93%	161
Another alternative which I have provided via written comment.	8.00%	30
Totals	100%	375

10. What is your level of support for not changing the NCOD area? (Demographic Assignment)

Responses		
	Percent	Count
low support	33.33%	8
low – moderate support	16.67%	4
moderate support	16.67%	4
moderate – high support	8.33%	2
high support	25.00%	6
Totals	100%	24

11. What do you see as the biggest positive of retaining the NCOD? (Demographic Assignment)

Responses

	Percent	Count
It works well the way it is.	16.00%	4
Boundary adjustments should be based on factual information from architectural surveys.	72.00%	18
I don't see any positives to retaining the NCOD.	12.00%	3
Totals	100%	25

12. What do you see as the biggest negative of retaining the NCOD? (Demographic Assignment)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
The NCOD area should be expanded.	40.91%	9
The NCOD area should be reduced.	18.18%	4
I don't see any negatives to keeping the NCOD boundary the same.	40.91%	9
Totals	100%	22

13. Please rank your top three options in order of preference... (Priority Ranking)

	Responses	
	Percent	Weighted Count
No major boundary adjustment.	7.52%	40
North 7th is all in or all out of the NCOD. It is one or the other.	34.96%	186
Adjust the NCOD boundary to include the north end.	24.81%	132
Complete an architectural survey; then contemplate boundary changes.	27.63%	147
Remove the boundary completely.	3.38%	18
Another alternative I have provided via written comment.	1.69%	9
Totals	100%	532

14. What is your level of support for creating standards and guidelines specific to area/neighborhoods within the NCOD?

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
low support	9.09%	2
low – moderate support	4.55%	1
moderate support	9.09%	2
moderate – high support	27.27%	6
high support	50.00%	11
Totals	100%	22

15. What do you see as the biggest positive of neighborhood specific standards and guidelines? (Demographic Assignment)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
New design will fit into existing context.	56.52%	13
Clear regulations will be adopted.	8.70%	2
More control over new building design.	30.43%	7
I don't see any positives to standards and guidelines.	4.35%	1
Totals	100%	23

16. What do you see as the biggest negative of neighborhood specific standards and guidelines? (Demographic Assignment)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
Too much regulation.	0.00%	0
Does not support creative new designs.	30.43%	7
Too hard to understand guidelines and standards.	26.09%	6
I don't see any negatives to neighborhood specific standards and guidelines.	43.48%	10

Totals	100%	23
---------------	-------------	-----------

**17. Please rank your top three options in order of preference...
(Priority Ranking)**

	Responses	
	Percent	Weighted Count
Distinct design standards and guidelines for each neighborhood area.	40.46%	176
Two sets of design standards and guidelines for areas north of Main and areas south of Main.	29.43%	128
Status quo – general guidelines.	24.37%	106
Another alternative I have provided via written comment.	5.75%	25
Totals	100%	435

18. What is your level of support for strengthening the historic preservation program? (Demographic Assignment)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
low support	8.70%	2
low – moderate support	4.35%	1
moderate support	17.39%	4
moderate – high support	8.70%	2
high support	60.87%	14
Totals	100%	23

19. What do you see as the biggest positive of strengthening the historic preservation program? (Demographic Assignment)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
Preserving our history.	59.09%	13
Protecting property values.	4.55%	1
Preserving mass and scale in neighborhood.	36.36%	8
I don't see any positives to strengthening the historic preservation program.	0.00%	0
Totals	100%	22

20. What do you see as the biggest negative to strengthening the historic preservation program? (Demographic Assignment)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
Too onerous on owners of older homes.	26.09%	6
Too impactful to the local economy.	0.00%	0
Too limiting on design options/styles.	21.74%	5
The review process will become long/convoluted.	17.39%	4
I don't see any negatives to this.	34.78%	8
Totals	100%	23

21. Please rank your top three options in order of preference... (Priority Ranking)

	Responses	
	Percent	Weighted Count
Strengthen the historic preservation program.	34.91%	155
Phase in a stronger historic preservation program with incremental steps.	39.86%	177
Status quo – voluntary program under State and Federal regulations	21.40%	95
Another alternative I have provided via written comment.	3.83%	17
Totals	100%	444

22. What is your level of support for expanding incentives for historic properties? (Demographic Assignment)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
low support	0.00%	0
low – moderate support	8.33%	2
moderate support	20.83%	5
moderate – high support	12.50%	3
high support	58.33%	14
Totals	100%	24

23. What do you see as the biggest positive of expanding incentives for historic properties? (Demographic Assignment)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
Financial support of owners of historic properties.	18.18%	4
Flexibility to deal with unique situations and site conditions.	45.45%	10
Allows for an in-depth discussion on the balance of preservation and redevelopment impacts.	36.36%	8
I don't see any positives.	0.00%	0
Totals	100%	22

24. What do you see as the biggest negative of expanding incentives for historic properties? (Demographic Assignment)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
Allows for property owners to benefit from preservation efforts.	10.00%	2
Creates inequity between historic and non-historic redevelopment.	20.00%	4
Applicants asking for too many incentives.	15.00%	3

Costs to the City.	15.00%	3
I don't see any negatives.	40.00%	8
Totals	100%	20

25. Please rank your top three options in order of preference...
(Priority Ranking)

Responses		
	Percent	Weighted Count
Expand incentives for historic properties.	56.65%	230
Do not allow any incentives for historic properties.	7.88%	32
Status quo - historic property owners are allowed to request variations.	31.28%	127
Another alternative I have provided via written comment.	4.19%	17
Totals	100%	406

26. What is your level of support for elevating HPAB to a decision-making body? (Demographic Assignment)

Responses		
	Percent	Count
low support	25.00%	6
low – moderate support	0.00%	0
moderate support	16.67%	4
moderate – high support	12.50%	3
high support	45.83%	11
Totals	100%	24

27. What do you see as the biggest positive of elevating HPAB to a decision-making body? (Demographic Assignment)

Responses		
	Percent	Count
Historic projects receive heightened review against criteria and standards.	45.45%	10
Ability for the public to participate in project reviews via attending public hearings and providing public comment.	18.18%	4
More perspectives weighing in during project review.	13.64%	3
I don't see any positives.	22.73%	5
Totals	100%	22

28. What do you see as the biggest negative of elevating HPAB to a decision-making body? (Demographic Assignment)

Responses		
	Percent	Count
Longer application process.	47.83%	11
Ability for public to participate in project reviews.	13.04%	3
More perspectives weighing in during project review.	4.35%	1
I don't see any negatives.	34.78%	8
Totals	100%	23

29. Please rank your top three options in order of preference... (Priority Ranking)

Responses		
	Percent	Weighted Count
Make HPAB decision-makers.	37.20%	154
Require HPAB provide a recommendation for historic projects and projects within a historic district.	38.65%	160
Status quo – Community Development decides, HPAB sometimes consulted.	22.22%	92
Another alternative I have provided via written comment.	1.93%	8
Totals	100%	414

30. What is your level of support for creating standards and guidelines for historic landmarks and historic districts?

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
low support	13.04%	3
low – moderate support	4.35%	1
moderate support	8.70%	2
moderate – high support	17.39%	4
high support	56.52%	13
Totals	100%	23

31. What do you see as the biggest positive for creating standards and guidelines for historic landmarks and historic

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
Protect historic buildings.	69.57%	16
Clear regulations.	13.04%	3
More control over new building design.	17.39%	4
I don't see any positives.	0.00%	0
Totals	100%	23

32. What do you see as the biggest negative for creating standards and guidelines for historic landmarks and historic

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
Too much regulation.	13.64%	3
Does not support creative new designs.	27.27%	6

Too costly.	13.64%	3
I don't see any negatives.	45.45%	10
Totals	100%	22

**33. Please rank your top three options in order of preference...
(Priority Ranking)**

Responses		
	Percent	Weighted Count
Create historic preservation design standard and guidelines for districts and landmarks.	43.06%	183
Incrementally create historic district design standards and guidelines.	32.71%	139
Only create design guidelines, no standards.	13.41%	57
Status quo – 2015 guidelines document, not consistent with the most recently updated federal standards.	4.47%	19
Another alternative I have provided via written comment.	6.35%	27
Totals	100%	425

34. What is your level of support to align southern B-3 boundary with Babcock Street? (Demographic Assignment)

Responses		
	Percent	Count
low support	25.00%	6
low – moderate support	4.17%	1
moderate support	4.17%	1
moderate – high support	29.17%	7
high support	37.50%	9
Totals	100%	24

35. What do you see as the biggest positive of adjusting the B-3 boundary to Babcock Street? (Demographic Assignment)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
Reduce conflicts between historic districts and new development.	36.36%	8
More straightforward geographical boundary.	4.55%	1
Protect mass and scale in historic districts.	45.45%	10
Push development pressure to north of Main Street and to the N. 7th corridor.	4.55%	1
I don't see any positives.	9.09%	2
Totals	100%	22

36. What do you see as the biggest negative of adjusting the B-3 boundary to Babcock Street? (Demographic Assignment)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
Stifling downtown development.	31.82%	7
Pushing development to a different neighborhood.	22.73%	5
No longer allowing short term rentals of my home.	4.55%	1
I don't see any negatives.	40.91%	9
Totals	100%	22

37. Please rank your top three options in order of preference... (Priority Ranking)

	Responses	
	Percent	Weighted Count
Create a B-3 transitional zone for areas beyond the downtown core.	36.06%	181
Incorporate additional site design standards within the existing zone edge transition requirements.	30.08%	151
Incentivize redevelopment along North 7th through TDRs.	26.29%	132
Status quo – leave boundary where it is.	5.58%	28
Another alternative I have provided via written comment.	1.99%	10
Totals	100%	502

38. What is your level of support to align zone districts with historic district boundaries? (Demographic Assignment)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
low support	13.64%	3
low – moderate support	4.55%	1
moderate support	9.09%	2
moderate – high support	18.18%	4
high support	54.55%	12
Totals	100%	22

39. What do you see as the biggest positive to align zone districts with historic district boundaries? (Demographic

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
Protect historic buildings.	4.76%	1
New buildings better relate to historic district context.	76.19%	16
Easier to understand.	19.05%	4
I don't see any positives.	0.00%	0
Totals	100%	21

40. What do you see as the biggest negative to align zone districts with historic district boundaries? (Demographic

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
Too much regulation.	4.76%	1

May result in less density.	19.05%	4
Will sterilize historic districts.	28.57%	6
I don't see any negatives.	47.62%	10
Totals	100%	21

**41. Please rank your top three options in order of preference...
(Priority Ranking)**

	Responses	
	Percent	Weighted Count
Explore adjusting the historic district boundaries to relate to the existing zone districts.	53.04%	157
Develop an historic preservation overlay zone in place of amending zone district boundaries.	21.62%	64
Status quo – leave zoning and historic districts the same.	15.54%	46
Another alternative I have provided via written comment.	9.80%	29
Totals	100%	296

42. What is your level of support to align zone districts with neighborhood character? (Demographic Assignment)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
low support	18.18%	4
low – moderate support	0.00%	0
moderate support	9.09%	2
moderate – high support	22.73%	5
high support	50.00%	11
Totals	100%	22

43. What do you see as the biggest positive of aligning zone districts with neighborhood character? (Demographic)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
Preservation of neighborhood character.	50.00%	11
Mass and scale is more compatible with neighborhood character.	40.91%	9
Easier to understand.	4.55%	1
I don't see any positives.	4.55%	1
Totals	100%	22

44. What do you see as the biggest negative of aligning zone districts with neighborhood character? (Demographic)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
Will sterilize neighborhood character.	9.52%	2
May result in less density.	28.57%	6
Too much regulation.	4.76%	1
I don't see any negatives.	57.14%	12
Totals	100%	21

45. Please rank your top three options in order of preference... (Priority Ranking)

	Responses	
	Percent	Weighted Count
Align zone districts with neighborhood character.	40.57%	172
Update the form and intensity standards to better address concerns about mass and scale.	27.59%	117
Update current design guidelines and add design standards to better address concerns about mass and scale.	27.36%	116
Status quo – don't change zoning boundaries.	4.48%	19
Another alternative I have provided via written comment.	0.00%	0
Totals	100%	424

46. What is your level of support for streamlined and understandable review process? (Demographic Assignment)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
low support	0.00%	0
low – moderate support	0.00%	0
moderate support	14.29%	3
moderate – high support	19.05%	4
high support	66.67%	14
Totals	100%	21

47. What do you see as the biggest positive of a streamlined and understandable review process? (Demographic Assignment)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
Faster review process.	4.76%	1
More predictable review process.	52.38%	11
Easier to understand.	38.10%	8
I don't see any positives.	4.76%	1
Totals	100%	21

48. What do you see as the biggest negative of a streamlined and understandable review process? (Demographic Assignment)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count

Slower review process.	13.64%	3
Over regulation.	9.09%	2
The review process is fine as it is.	4.55%	1
I don't see any negatives.	72.73%	16
Totals	100%	22

**49. Please rank your top three options in order of preference...
(Priority Ranking)**

	Responses	
	Percent	Weighted Count
Ensure that the review process is understandable and streamlined.	61.82%	217
Require a binding review process with the Design Review Board.	23.36%	82
Status quo – keep current process.	14.81%	52
Another alternative I have provided via written comment.	0.00%	0
Totals	100%	351

50. Which options do you support (pick all that apply)? (Multiple Choice - Multiple Response)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
Provide information to the area surrounding a project prior to the first hearing.	40.00%	20
Add project information (for example project proposal, more detailed application status, associated permits) to online maps (GIS).	32.00%	16
Use social media channels to educate ways to find project information.	24.00%	12
Status quo.	2.00%	1
I provided an alternative.	2.00%	1
I do not support any of these options.	0.00%	0
Totals	100%	50

51. Which options do you support (pick all that apply)? (Multiple Choice - Multiple Response)

	Responses	
	Percent	Count
Require a meeting with the neighborhood areas prior to application review.	41.94%	13
Require input from established neighborhood associations on large scale projects.	35.48%	11
Status quo.	9.68%	3
I provided an alternative.	12.90%	4
I do not support any of these options.	0.00%	0
Totals	100%	31